UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,285	01/03/2006	Yoshitoshi Kida	SON-3056	4334	
	7590 08/20/200 <b>IAN &amp; GRAUER</b> PLI	EXAMINER			
LION BUILDIN		WILLIS, RANDAL L			
WASHINGTON	REET N.W., SUITE 50 N, DC 20036	01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication			Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
			10/563,285		KIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			RANDAL WII	LIS	2629				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this commun	nication appea	ars on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS L  - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If NO period for reply is  - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD F ONGER, FROM THE N be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this come specified above, the maximum si e set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months structure. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS  (a). In no event,  I apply and will exause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tire spire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) Responsive	to communication(s) file	ed on 08 May	v 2009						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 May 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
/ <u>—</u>		/—			osecution as to the	e merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	<b>s</b>								
<u> </u>		nnlication							
·—	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	_								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
·	is/are objected to.								
	are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election real	uirement					
	and dabject to rectin	011011 0110, 01	0.000.01.104						
Application Papers		_							
	tion is objected to by th								
	s) filed on <u>08 <i>May 200</i>9</u>								
_	not request that any obje			-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
	n's Patent Drawing Review (Feestatement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	<b>=</b>	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/563,285 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to amendment in application 10/563285 filed
 May 8<sup>th</sup> 2009. Claims 1-6 are currently pending and have been examined.

### **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-2, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edwards (6,498,438).

Apropos claim 1, Edwards teaches:

A method for operating a constant current circuit comprising,

after connecting a sampling capacitor (72, Fig. 8) connected between a gate and a source of a transistor (capacitor 73 connected between gate of source of transistor T4, Fig. 8) and a drain of the transistor to a reference current source (T4 connected to current source 40, Fig. 8) and setting a voltage across the sampling capacitor to a voltage between the gate and the source produced while the transistor is driven by a reference current of the reference current source (Col 8 line 60 through Col 9 lines 5),

cutting off the connection among the sampling capacitor, the transistor and the reference current source, as well as connecting the drain of the transistor to a driving target (Col 9 lines 1-10), and driving the driving target by a current of the transistor due to the voltage between the gate and the source that is set in the sampling capacitor (Col 9 lines 1-10).

Apropos claim 2, Edwards teaches:

further comprising repeating a period for setting the voltage across the sampling capacitor and a period for driving the driving target (Inherent in active matrix displays to repeat driving periods to display images).

Apropos claim 5, Edwards teaches:

A constant current circuit, comprising:

Page 4

A transistor having a gate, a source, and a drain (T4, Fig. 10), the drain of the transistor being configured for selective connection to a reference current source (Connected to reference 40 through T2, Fig. 10); and

A sampling capacitor (72, Fig. 10) configured for selective connection between the gate and the source of the transistor (T3, Fig. 10), for setting a voltage across the sampling capacitor to a voltage between the gate and the source produced while the transistor is driven by a reference current of the reference current source (Col 9 lines 1-5),

Wherein the drain of the transistor is selectively connected to a driving target after setting said voltage across the sampling capacitor, for driving the driving target by a current of the transistor due to the voltage between the gate and the source that is set in the sampling capacitor (output enable T5, Fig. 10 selectively connects T4 to the output column load).

Apropos claim 6, Edwards teaches:

Wherein a period for setting the voltage across the sampling capacitor and a period for driving the driving target are repeated (Inherent in active matrix displays to repeat driving periods to display images), the period for setting the voltage across the sampling capacitor being set as a period for pre-charge of a display section (Fig. 11 shows the capacitor is charged prior to the output enable being applied and can be considered a pre-charge period).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,285 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 3-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards in view of Yamazaki (2006/0267899).

Apropos claim 3, Edwards teaches:

A flat display device constructed so that a display section made of pixels arranged in a matrix form (Fig. 1), a vertical driving circuit for sequentially selecting the pixels of the display section through gate lines (16, Fig. 1), and a horizontal driving circuit for driving pixels selected through the gate lines (18, Fig. 1), by signal lines of the display section,

characterized in that:

the horizontal driving circuit comprises:

Art Unit: 2629

after connecting a sampling capacitor (72, Fig. 8) connected between a gate and a source of a transistor (capacitor 73 connected between gate of source of transistor T4, Fig. 8) and a drain of the transistor to a reference current source (T4 connected to current source 40, Fig. 8) and setting a voltage across the sampling capacitor to a voltage between the gate and the source produced while the transistor is driven by a reference current of the reference current source (Col 8 line 60 through Col 9 lines 5),

cutting off the connection among the sampling capacitor, the transistor and the reference current source, as well as connecting the drain of the transistor to a driving target (Col 9 lines 1-10), and driving the driving target by a current of the transistor due to the voltage between the gate and the source that is set in the sampling capacitor (Col 9 lines 1-10).

However Edwards fails to explicitly teach:

a digital-to-analog conversion circuit for performing digital-to-analog conversion processing of gradation data indicative of gradations of the pixels; and

a buffer circuit for driving the signal lines by means of an output signal from the digital-to-analog conversion circuit;

the buffer circuit drives the signal lines by a source follower circuit formed by connecting a constant current circuit to a source of a transistor;

in the same field of flat panel displays, Yamazaki teaches a source driver circuit which contains a D/A converter and a buffer circuit to provide the data to the data lines ([0110]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the known method of having D/A converters and buffers in the data driver as taught by Yamazaki in the display of Edwards in order to provide steady analog signals to be able to charge the capacitor to the correct voltage for displaying an image.

Apropos claim 4, Edwards teaches:

The flat display device according to claim 3, wherein the constant current circuit is configured for repeating a period for setting the voltage across the sampling capacitor and a period for driving the driving target (Inherent in active matrix displays to repeat driving periods to display images), the period for setting the voltage across the sampling capacitor being set as a period for precharge of the display section (Fig. 9 shows capacitor is charged prior to the output being enabled which would drive the display pixel).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RANDAL WILLIS whose telephone number is (571)270-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 8am to 5pm (EST).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,285 Page 8

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on 571-272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**RLW** 

/Amr Awad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629